# Polymer-Supported Dicyanoketene Acetal as a $\pi$ -Acid Catalyst: Monothioacetalization and Carbon–Carbon Bond Formation of Acetals

### Nobuyuki TANAKA, Tsuyoshi MIURA, and Yukio MASAKI\*

*Gifu Pharmaceutical University, 5–6–1 Mitahora Higashi, Gifu 502–8585, Japan.* Received January 24, 2000; accepted March 15, 2000

> Polymeric dicyanoketene acetals (DCKA) were synthesized by copolymerization of styrene and divinylbenzene or ethylene glycol dimethacrylate. These novel polymers could be used successfully as recyclable  $\pi$ -acid catalysts in monothioacetalization or carbon–carbon bond forming reaction of acetals.

Key words polymeric dicyanoketene acetal;  $\pi$ -acid; recyclable catalyst; monothioacetalization; carbon–carbon bond formation

Since Merrifield's solid phase synthesis of peptides,<sup>1a)</sup> polymer-supported catalysts, reagents, and substrates have attracted much attention for many years due to their inherent advantages in synthetic chemistry: simplification of reaction procedures including easy separation of products, application to automation systems leading to combinatorial chemistry, and recycling of catalysts and reagents.<sup>1b)</sup>

Useful polymer-supported acid catalysts are classified into several types from the viewpoint of their elements of intrinsic acidity: 1) Lewis acids incorporated coordinately in inorganic and organic polymer matrix such as zeolites,<sup>2a)</sup> clays,<sup>2b)</sup> silica,<sup>2c)</sup> and resins,<sup>2d)</sup> 2) Lewis acidic metals introduced covalently in organic<sup>3a)</sup> and mixed organic-inorganic polymer matrix,<sup>3b)</sup> 3) polymeric protonic (Brønsted) acids such as proton-exchanged zeolites<sup>4a)</sup> and clays,<sup>4b)</sup> and protonated ionexchange resins including hydrochloric,<sup>4c)</sup> sulfonic,<sup>4d)</sup> and carboxylic acid,<sup>4e)</sup> and 4) organic cation species bound to a polystyrene resin.<sup>5)</sup>

In the course of our investigation on the  $\pi$ -acid property of tetracyanoethylene (TCNE)<sup>6</sup>) we have found that certain capto-dative olefins, dicyanoketene acetals (DCKA), in which two geminal cyano groups are substituted with alkoxy groups, work well as a promoter in alcoholysis of epoxides,<sup>7a</sup>) tetrahydropyranylation of alcohols,<sup>7b)</sup> and monothioacetalization of acetals.<sup>7c)</sup> During modification of the structure of DCKA aiming at novel catalytic activities, we have designed polymer-supported dicyanoketene acetals, which are accessible by polymerization of styrene derivatives bearing dicyanoketene acetal moiety. We recently reported in preliminary communications that a polymer-supported DCKA, a new type of solid acid catalyst which does not belong to the above classification, catalyzes monothioacetalization<sup>8a)</sup> and carbon–carbon bond formation<sup>8b)</sup> of acetals under unhydrous conditions, and deprotects acetals and silyl ethers in aqueous media.<sup>8c)</sup> We herein provide full details of monothioacetalization and carbon–carbon bond formation of acetals under mild reaction conditions using a polymer-supported DCKA.

The preparation of polymeric DCKAs (**3** and **4**) is shown in Chart 1. Condensation of diethyl malonate with an equivalent mole of 4-chloromethyl styrene in the presence of NaH gave an inseparable mixture (18:5) of monoalkylated malonate and dialkylated malonate as a by-product. This mixture was reduced with LiAlH<sub>4</sub> to provide a diol derivative (**1**) after chromatographic separation in 46% overall yield in two steps. Condensation of the diol (**1**) with 1.3 mole equivalent of TCNE in the presence of a catalytic amount of Et<sub>2</sub>NH<sup>9</sup> in



i: 1.1 eq NaH, DMF, r.t., 1 h; ii: 0.3 eq NaI, 1.0 eq 4-chloromethyl styrene, 50 °C, 2 h; iii: 3.0 eq LiAlH<sub>4</sub>, Et<sub>2</sub>O, reflux, 2 h (46% for 2 steps); iv: 0.2 eq Et<sub>2</sub>NH, 1.3 eq TCNE, THF, r.t., 2 h (67%); v: (synthesis of **3**), 0.05 eq. AIBN, 1.0 eq divinylbenzene, toluene, 100 °C, 22 h (49%); (synthesis of **4**), 0.05 eq AIBN, 1.0 eq EGDMA, toluene, 100 °C, 2 h (80%).

Chart 1

Table 1. Solvent Effect and the Reusing of 3 and 4 in the Monothioacetalization of 5

	OMe polymeric	polymeric DCKA (3 or 4) (50mg), PhSH (1.5 eq)						
Ph 5 (5	OMe 50 mg)	3 h	Frh SPh					
Entry	6.1	Polymeric DCKA	T/°C	Yield (%)				
Entry	Solvent		17 C	1st	2nd	3rd		
1	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	3	60	21	25			
2	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	4	60	83	88	87		
3	$CH_2Cl_2$	4	Reflux	10		_		
4	Et <sub>2</sub> O	4	Reflux	32				
5	Benzene	4	60	29				
6	Toluene	4	60	35		_		
7	DMSO	4	60	42				
8	DMF	4	60	61	58	60		

THF produced a monomeric styrene derivative (2) in 67% yield, which was ascertained to have the catalytic activities in the reaction of benzaldehyde dimethyl acetal (5) with thiophenol (1.5 eq) in acetonitrile at 60 °C for 3 h to give the corresponding monothioacetal (6) in 87% yield. Copolymerization of 2 with one equivalent mole of each of styrene and divinylbenzene as cross-linking agents using a catalytic amount of AIBN as a radical initiator<sup>10)</sup> produced in 49% yield a polymer-supported DCKA (3) as a white powder; this was estimated to be composed of an approximate ratio 2:2:1 of 2, styrene, and divinylbenzene from elemental analysis (2.30 mmol/g for loaded DCKA). Another DCKA (4) was obtained with an equivalent mole of ethylene glycol dimethacrylate (EGDMA) as a cross-linking agent according to Dhai's method<sup>11</sup>) in 95% yield as a white powder, which was estimated to be composed of an approximate ratio 2:1 of 2 and EGDMA from elemental analysis (2.74 mmol/g for loaded DCKA).

The polymeric DCKAs (3 and 4) were evaluated as catalysts in monothioacetalization of benzaldehyde dimethyl acetal (5) with thiophenol. The polymer (3 or 4) (50 mg) was added to a solution of the dimethyl acetal (5) (50 mg, 0.33 mmol) and thiophenol (PhSH) (0.50 mmol) in a solvent (1.0 ml). The mixture was stirred at 60 °C and the reaction was monitored by TLC. The reaction displayed a noticeable solvent dependence as listed in Table 1. Although the polymeric DCKA (3) showed little catalytic activity, the other one (4) accelerated remarkably the monothioacetalization reaction in acetonitrile, which was the solvent of choice for providing a high yield (83%) of a monothioacetal (6) (entries 1 and 2). Solvents, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, Et<sub>2</sub>O, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>, and DMSO were poor to sluggish and DMF was moderate for the reaction (entries 3-8). The product could be easily isolated by filtration of the catalyst followed by the usual workup. After washing the recovered polymer successively with water and ethyl acetate followed by drying at room temperature in vacuo for 4 h, the catalysts (3 and 4) could be reused without loss of the activities (entries 1, 2, and 8).

Next, efficiency of the catalyst (4) was investigated in the monothioacetalization<sup>12)</sup> of several aliphatic acetals including  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated acetals (10—12), and mixed acetals such as methoxymethyl (MOM) (13), tetrahydropyranyl (THP) (14), and tetrahydrofuranyl (THF) ethers (15) using PhSH as well as phenylthiotrimethylsilane (TMS-SPh) as a nucleophile,

and the results are summarized in Table 2. Typical dimethyl acetals of decyl aldehyde (7), 2-octanone (8), and cyclohexanone (9) smoothly underwent substitution reactions under the conditions at 60 °C within two days to give the corresponding monothioacetals (16), (17), and (18), respectively, although the ketone acetals (8, 9) reacted more rapidly than that of the aldehyde (7) (entries 3, 4, 5, 7, and 9).  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ -unsaturated dimethyl acetals of trans-cinnamaldehyde (10), trans-2-hexenal (11), and 3-methyl-2-butenal (12) gave inseparable complex mixtures, even if the reaction was carried out at room temperature or DMF was used as a solvent (entries 10-16). Unexpectedly, no reaction was observed with dodecyl MOM ether (13) (entries 17 and 18). Mixed acetals, THP (14) and THF ethers (15) derived from n-pentanol, underwent regioselective thiolysis depending on the amount of the catalyst and the reaction time, especially when TMS-SPh was used as a nucleophile to afford selectively endo- (20 and 22) or exo-cyclic C-O bond cleaved products (19 and 21) (entry 19–23). The fact that a smaller amount of the catalyst and shorter period of reaction time led to the linear monothioacetals (20) selectively (entry 20) indicated that the endocyclic C-O bond of the THP ether (14) appears to be cleaved more

rapidly than the exocyclic one, leading initially to **20** which is transformed to cyclic monothioacetal (**19**) with elimination of *n*-pentanol under the reaction conditions. Thus, the intermediate linear monothioacetal (**20**) was subjected to the reaction conditions for 20 h to produce 71% yield of the cyclic monothioacetal (**19**) (Chart 2).

Encouraged by the results of monothioacetalization, we were intrigued by the substitution reaction of aldehydes and acetals<sup>13</sup>) with carbon nucleophiles catalyzed by the polymeric DCKA (4). As a preliminary examination, catalytic activity of dicyanoketene ethylene acetal (DCKEA) (23) in the carbon-carbon bond forming reaction of aldehydes and ketones was investigated. Thus, benzaldehyde (24) when heated under reflux with TMS-CN (A) (1.5 eg) in acetonitrile in the presence of a catalytic amount of DCKEA (23) (0.2 eq) gave the corresponding cyanohydrin derivative (25) in 63% yield after acidic hydrolysis (Table 3, entry 1). Similarly, p-anisaldehyde (26), p-chlorobenzaldehyde (28) and heptanal (30) also reacted with TMS-CN (A) to give the corresponding cyanohydrins (27, 29 and 31) in high yields under the same conditions (Table 3, entries 4-6). The methyl ketones acetophenone (32) and 2-octanone (34), however, gave low yields of the corresponding TMS ether of the cyanohydrins (33 and 35) in the reaction with TMS-CN (A) under the same conditions (Table 3, entries 9 and 10). Furthermore, DCKEA (23) was found to be a poor catalyst for the reaction of these carbonyl compounds with other silylated nucleophiles (allyltrimethylsilane (TMS-allyl) (B) and acetophenone enol TMS ether (C)) (Table 3, entries 2, 3, 7, 8, 11 and 12).

In turn, attention was focused on the reactivities of acetals with silylated nucleophiles. As shown in Table 4, *p*-anisaldehyde dimethyl acetal (**36**) (0.27 mmol) when heated under reflux for 2 h with TMS-CN (A) (1.5 eq) in acetonitrile in the presence of a catalytic amount of DCKEA (**23**) (0.2 eq) gave the corresponding cyanohydrin derivative (**37**) in 92% yield after workup (entry 1). The finding of the high catalytic activity of a monomeric DCKA (**2**) having styrene moiety to give 98% yield of **37** with 98% recovery of the catalyst (**2**) in

Table 2. Monothioacetalization of Acetals Catalyzed by 4

		OMe Polymeric DCKA (4) (	50mg), Nucleophile (1.5 eq)	OMe	
		R OMc 50 mg CH <sub>3</sub>	CN, 60 °C	₩ R - < SPh	
Entry	Substrate	Nucleophile	Time (h)	Product/Yie	ld (%)
1		PhSH	6		73 <sup><i>a</i>)</sup>
2	OMe.	PhSH	20		75
3		TMS-SPh		$n-C_9H_{19} \rightarrow ONe$	$50^{b)}$
4	7	TMS-SPh	20	SPn 16	61
5		TMS-SPh	40	10	86
6	n-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>B</sub> OMe	PhSH	2	n-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>13</sub> , OMe	64
7	A OMe	TMS-SPh	5	17	69
8	∕ ∩ OMe	PhSH	6	<b>—</b> 0M• 29	<b>SPh</b> 36
9	OMe 9	TMS-SPh	7	Sph 80	
10	ОМ»	PhSH	2	Mixture	
11		TMS-SPh	20	Mixture	
12	10 Fin + Civile	TMS-SPh <sup>c)</sup>	27	Mixture	
13	OMe	PhSH	20	Mixture	
14	~~~~	TMS-SPh <sup>d</sup>	20	Mixture	
15	11	TMS-SPh <sup>c)</sup>	27	No reaction	
16	12 OMe 12	PhSH	2	Mixture	
17	^	PhSH	31	No reaction	
18	n-C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>25</sub> -O OMe 13	TMS-SPh	31	No reaction	
19	$\sim$	PhSH	12	73	$\sim$
20		TMS-SPh	19	$\int \int e^{e} u de^{e}$	35
21	14	TMS-SPh	40	<b>19</b> 48 <sup>f)</sup>	<b>20</b> 14
22	~	PhSH	12	<u> </u>	./``
23	<sup>C</sup> O <sup>λ</sup> O-n-C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>11</sub> 15	TMS-SPh	40	O SPh 75 21	<sup>7</sup> PhS <sup>↑</sup> O-n-C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>11</sub> 18 22



$$\frac{100 \text{ phS}}{20 \text{ (50 mg)}} \circ -n - C_5 H_{11} \xrightarrow{\text{polymetic DCKA (4) (50 mg)}}{CH_3 \text{CN, 60 °C, 20 h}} 20 (5\%) + O_{O-n-C_5 H_{11}} \circ O_{O-n-C_5 H_{11$$

Chart 2

the same reaction prompted us to use the polymeric DCKA (4) as a catalyst in a substitution reaction of 36 with TMS-CN (A) (entry 2). Thus, a mixture of the polymeric DCKA (4) (50 mg), the dimethyl acetal (36) (50 mg, 0.27 mmol), and TMS-CN (A) (0.41 mmol) was refluxed for 2 h in acetonitrile (3 ml). Isolation by the usual workup after filtration of the catalyst (4) gave almost quantitatively the cyanohydrin derivative (37). After washing the recovered polymeric DCKA (4) successively with water and ethyl acetate followed by drying at room temperature *in vacuo* for 4 h, the catalyst (4) could be reused without loss of the activity (entry 3).

As shown in Table 5, the dimethyl acetals (**36**, **5** and **44**) derived from aryl aldehydes, *p*-anisaldehyde, benzaldehyde and 2-furaldehyde, when treated with TMS-CN (A), TMS-allyl (B), and aryl methyl ketone enol TMS ethers (C and D) in the presence of the polymeric DCKA (**4**) afforded the corresponding substitution products (**37**–**39**, **40**–**43**, **45**–**47**)

in good yields except for allyl-substituted products (entries 1-12). The dimethyl acetals (10 and 11) derived from an  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated aldehyde, *trans*-cinnamaldehyde and *trans*-2-hexenal, when treated with silvlated nucleophiles under the same conditions gave the corresponding substitution products (48-54) in good yields except for allyl-substituted product (49) (entries 13-19). Saturated acetals including aldehyde and ketone acetals appear less reactive than  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated ones under the present conditions. Thus, the reaction of decanal and 2-octanone dimethyl acetals (7 and 9) with acetophenone and 2-acetylfuran enol TMS ethers (C and D) proceeded sluggishly to give respectively low yields or no trace of the corresponding aldol methyl ethers (entries 22, 23, 25 and 26), although the reaction with TMS-CN (A) provided moderate to excellent yields of the corresponding substitution products (55 and 58) (entries 20 and 24).

As shown in Table 6, mixed acetals, THP (61) and THF

Table 3.	The Reaction of Aldehvdes	and Ketones with Silvla	ated Nucleophiles C	atalyzed by 23

$\begin{array}{c} 0.2 \text{ eq} & \underset{\text{NC}}{\overset{\text{O}}{\longrightarrow}} & 1.5 \text{ eq} & \text{TMS-Nu} \\ \end{array} \\ \xrightarrow{\text{O}}_{\text{R}^{1}} & \xrightarrow{\text{O}}_{\text{CH}_{3}\text{CN}, \text{ reflux}} & \xrightarrow{3\% \text{ HCl}} & \underset{\text{R}^{1}}{\overset{\text{MC}}{\longrightarrow}} & \underset{\text{R}^{2}}{\overset{3\% \text{ HCl}}{\longrightarrow}} \end{array}$						
Entry	Substrate	TMS-Nu	Time (h)	Product		Yield (%)
1 2	()-сно	TMS-CN (A)	3 10	<b>25</b> Nu=CN	No reaction	63
3	24	Ph C)	10		No reaction	
4	MeO 26	А	2	<b>27</b> Nu=CN		Quant.
5	CI 28 CHO	А	21	<b>29</b> Nu=CN		90
6	V V V CBO	А	2	<b>31</b> Nu=CN		96
7 8	××× 30	B C	10 10		No reaction No reaction	
9	Ph 32	А	21	<b>33</b> Nu=CN		10 <sup><i>a</i>)</sup>
10	Q Q	А	5	<b>35</b> Nu=CN		34 <sup>b)</sup>
11 12	34 34	B C	10 10		No reaction No reaction	

a) The corresponding TMS ether of the cyanohydrine was isolated as a product and 72% of the substrate (28) was recovered. b) The corresponding TMS ether of the cyanohydrine was isolated as a product and 27% of the substrate (30) was recovered.

 Table 4.
 The Reaction of 36 with TMS-CN (A) Catalyzed by DCKAs



ethers (63) derived from *n*-octanol, underwent carbon–carbon bond formation reaction in the presence of the catalyst. The reactions proceeded, however, without regioselectivity to give low yields of the ring-opening products (62 and 64), al-though the other probable products, 2-cyanotetrahydropy-ran<sup>14)</sup> and 2-cyanotetrahydrofuran<sup>15)</sup> corresponding to the produced *n*-octanol (65) could not be isolated by the usual workup because of their low boiling points. In contrast to the thiolysis, the ring-opening product (62) did not undergo any change under the same reaction conditions, and was recov-

ered quantitatively.

In conclusion, a novel polymer-supported DCKA (4) reported herein was found to be an unprecedented and recyclable polymeric  $\pi$ -acid and to successfully promote mild monothioacetalization and carbon–carbon bond formation of acetals.

#### Experimental

Melting points (Mps) were measured with a Yanagimoto micromelting point apparatus and are uncorrected. IR absorption spectra were recorded on a JASCO IRA-1 spectrometer and a Perkin Elmer Spectrum One. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL JNM-GX-270 (270 MHz) and a JEOL JNM-EX-400 (400 MHz) spectrometer with SiMe<sub>4</sub> as an internal standard; *J* values are given in Hz. Mass spectra (MS) and high-resolution MS (HRMS) were recorded on a JEOL JMS-D300 or a JEOL JMS-SX102A spectrometer. Products were purified by silica gel chromatography or preparative TLC. Acetonitrile was distilled from CaH<sub>2</sub> and stored over molecular sieves.

2-(4-Vinylbenzyl)-1,3-propanediol (1) A suspension of NaH (60%, 2.2 g, 55 mmol) in DMF (30 ml) was added to a solution of diethyl malonate (7.6 ml, 50 mmol) in DMF (20 ml) at 0 °C under argon atmosphere, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. A solution of 4-vinylbenzyl chloride (7.1 ml, 50 mmol) and NaI (1.5 g, 10 mmol) in DMF (50 ml) was added, and the mixture was stirred at 50 °C for 2 h. After cooling to room temperature, water was added, and the reaction mixture was extracted with AcOEt. The crude product thus obtained was purified by silica gel chromatography (AcOEt-hexane, 1:10) to give a mixture (18:5) of a monoalkylated product and a dialkylated one as a by-product. A solution of this mixture in ether (80 ml) was added to a suspension of  $LiAlH_4$  in ether (20 ml), and the mixture was refluxed for 2h. After cooling to room temperature, 2 M-HCl was added and filtered, and the reaction mixture was extracted with AcOEt. The crude product thus obtained was purified by silica gel chromatography (AcOEt-hexane, 1:1 to 1:0) to give 1 (4.4 g, 46% for 2 steps) as a colorless oil. IR (neat) cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3260, 2900, 1610 (C=C), 1380, 1030, 920, 850. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 2.02–2.09 (3H, m+br), 2.62 (2H, d, J=7.8 Hz), 3.66, 3.68 (2H, each d, J=10.5 Hz), 3.80, 3.81 (2H, each d, J=10.7 Hz), 5.21 (1H, d, J=10.7 Hz), 5.71 (1H, d, J=17.6 Hz), 6.69 (1H, dd, J=17.6, 10.7 Hz), 7.15 (2H, d, J=7.8 Hz), 7.34 (2H, d, J=7.8 Hz).

Table 5.	The Reaction	of Acetals with	Silvlated Nu	cleophiles (	Catalyzed by	4

	D	OMe polymeric DCKA (4) (50mg), I.	5 eq. TMS-Nu	OMe	
	к — (50	OMc CH3CN, retlux mg)	→ K - ₹	Nu	
Entry	Substrate	TMS-Nu	Time (h)	Product	Yield (%)
1		TMS-CN (A)	2	37 Nu=CN	99
2	OMe	TMS (B)	2	No reaction	
3	MeO	Pb MOTMS (C)	6	<b>38</b> Nu=CH <sub>2</sub> COPh	96
4	36	Contras (D)	5	<b>39</b> Nu=CH <sub>2</sub> CO-2-Furyl	74
5		А	2	<b>40</b> Nu=CN	95
6		В	24	<b>41</b> Nu=CH <sub>2</sub> CH=CH <sub>2</sub>	Trace
7	S OMe	С	6.5	42 Nu= $CH_2COPh$	Quant.
8	-	D	21	<b>43</b> Nu= $CH_2CO$ -2-Furyl	63
9	-	А	3	<b>45</b> Nu=CN	85
10	l_L_OMe	В	24	No reaction	
11	OMe	С	16	<b>46</b> Nu=CH <sub>2</sub> COPh	75
12	44	D	21	47 Nu= $CH_2CO$ -2-Furyl	59
13		А	1.5	<b>48</b> Nu=CN	94
14	OMe	В	24	<b>49</b> Nu=CH <sub>2</sub> CH=CH <sub>2</sub>	12 <sup><i>a</i>)</sup>
15	Ph	С	16	<b>50</b> Nu= $CH_2COPh$	98
16	10	D	21	<b>51</b> Nu= $CH_2CO-2$ -Furyl	95
17	OMe	А	1.5	<b>52</b> Nu=CN	80
18	AN AN	С	6	53 Nu=CH <sub>2</sub> COPh	92
19	11 11	D	21	<b>54</b> Nu= $CH_2^2CO$ -2-Furyl	87
20		Α	2	<b>55</b> Nu=CN	96
21	OMe	В	24	56 Nu=CH <sub>2</sub> CH=CH <sub>2</sub>	16 <sup><i>a</i>)</sup>
22		Ē	16	$57 \text{ Nu} = CH_2COPh$	38 <sup>b)</sup>
23	7	D	17	No reaction	
24	۱ ۵۸۰	Α	3	<b>58</b> Nu=CN	63
25		С	6	59 Nu=CH <sub>2</sub> COPh	44
26	9	D	21	60 Nu=CH <sub>2</sub> CO-2-Furvl	15

a) A considerable extent of the aldehyde was obtained. b) 37% of the substrate (7) was recovered.

## Table 6. The Reaction of Mixed Acetals with TMS-CN (A)

	က် ကို	O-n-C <sub>8</sub> H <sub>17</sub> (50 mg) or O-n-C <sub>8</sub> H <sub>17</sub> (50 mg) (50 mg)	$HO \int_{NC} O^{-n}C_{g}H_{17}$	61 + n⋅C <sub>8</sub> H <sub>17</sub> OH + υτ 65 63		
Entry	Substrate	Catalyst	Time (h)	Р	roduct/Yield (%)	
				HO NC O-n-C <sub>8</sub> H <sub>17</sub>	<i>п</i> -С <sub>8</sub> Н <sub>17</sub> ОН <b>65</b>	61
1	$\cap$	Polymeric DCKA (4) (50 mg)	3	11	22	44
2	└ <sub>O</sub> └ <sub>O-n-CgH<sub>17</sub></sub>	Polymeric DCKA (4) (50 mg)	21	38	29	8
3	61	DCKEA (23) (0.2 eq)	21	24	35	17
				HO-NC O-n-C <sub>8</sub> H <sub>17</sub> 64	<i>п</i> -С <sub>8</sub> Н <sub>17</sub> ОН <b>65</b>	63
4	$\int_{O} \sum_{O \cdot n - C_8 H_{17}}$	Polymeric DCKA (4) (50 mg) DCKEA (22) (0.2 st)	21	31	32	12
3	63	DCKEA (23) (0.2 eq)	21	26	43	10

HRMS (EI) m/z: 192.1147 (Calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: 192.1150).

**5-(4'-Vinylbenzyl)-2-dicyanomethylidene-1,3-dioxane (2)** A solution of **1** (4.4 g, 23 mmol) in THF (40 ml) was added to TCNE (3.8 g, 30 mmol) in THF (20 ml) under argon atmosphere. Et<sub>2</sub>NH (0.5 ml, 4.6 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 h. The reaction mixture was extracted with AcOEt. The crude product thus obtained was purified by recrystallization (AcOEt–hexane) to give **2** (4.1 g, 67%) as a slightly yellow prism. mp 166 °C. IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) cm<sup>-1</sup>: 2235 (CN), 1570 (C=C), 1480, 1280, 1170, 905. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) & 2.67 (1H, m), 2.75 (2H, d, J=7.3 Hz), 4.25, 4.28 (2H, each d, J=10.7 Hz), 4.56, 4.57 (2H, each d, J=11.0 Hz), 5.28 (1H, d, J=11.2 Hz), 5.76 (1H, d, J=17.6 Hz), 6.70 (1H, dd, J=17.6, 10.8 Hz), 7.11 (2H, d, J=8.3 Hz), 7.40 (2H, d, J=7.8 Hz). *Anal.* Calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>14</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 72.17; H, 5.30; N, 10.52. Found: C, 72.12; H, 5.33; N, 10.62.

**Polymeric DCKA (3)** A solution of AIBN (3.1 mg, 0.019 mmol) in toluene (6.2 ml) was added to the mixture of **2** (100 mg, 0.376 mmol), styrene (43.1  $\mu$ l, 0.376 mmol) and divinylbenzene (55%, 96.8  $\mu$ l, 0.376 mmol), and the reaction mixture was stirred at 100 °C for 22 h. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction mixture was added to MeOH (80 ml), and filtered. The solid product thus obtained was dried *in vacuo* at 40 °C for 4 h to give **3** (80.2 mg, *ca.* 49%) as a white powder. This polymeric DCKA (**3**) was estimated to be composed of a 2 : 2 : 1 ratio of **2** and styrene and divinylbenzene from elemental analysis (2.30 mmol/g for loaded DCKA). IR (KBr) cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3513, 3022, 2926, 2228 (CN), 1754, 1684, 1567 (C=C), 1513, 1490, 1443, 1291, 1240, 1181, 1089, 962, 909, 842, 707, 543. *Anal.* Found: C, 76.09; H, 6.19; N, 6.73.

**Polymeric DCKA (4)** A solution of AIBN (92.5 mg, 0.563 mmol) in toluene (185 ml) was added to the mixture of **2** (3.0 g, 11 mmol) and EGDMA (2.1 ml, 11 mmol), and the reaction mixture was stirred at 100 °C for 2 h. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction mixture was added to MeOH (1.51), and filtered. The solid product thus obtained was dried *in vacuo* at 40 °C for 4 h to give **4** (3.9 g, *ca.* 95%) as a white powder. This polymeric DCKA (4) was estimated to be composed of a 2 : 1 ratio of **2** and EGDMA from elemental analysis (2.74 mmol/g for loaded DCKA). IR (KBr) cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3156, 2944, 2228 (CN), 1726 (C=O), 1563 (C=C), 1492, 1445, 1396, 1292, 1242, 1182, 1131, 962, 909, 712, 543. *Anal.* Found: C, 65.55; H, 5.67; N, 7.28.

General Procedure for the Reactions of Acetals with Thiophenol Catalyzed by the Polymeric DCKA (4) Benzaldehyde dimethylacetal (5) (50.7 mg, 0.333 mmol) and thiophenol (51.3  $\mu$ l, 0.500 mmol) were added to a suspension of polymeric DCKA (4) (50.0 mg) in acetonitrile (1 ml) under argon atmosphere, and the mixture was stirred at 60 °C for 3 h. After filtration of catalyst, the crude product was purified by preparative TLC (AcOEt–hexane, 1:10) to give methoxy(phenylthio)methyl benzene (6) (63.5 mg, 83%) as a slightly yellow oil. After washing the recovered polymer (4) successively with water and ethyl acetate followed by drying at room temperature *in vacuo* for 4 h, the catalyst (4) could be reused without loss of the activities (2nd, recycle: 67.6 mg, 88%, 3rd recycle: 67.1 mg, 87%).

the activities (2nd. recycle; 67.6 mg, 88%, 3rd recycle; 67.1 mg, 87%). Compounds (6, 17, 18, 19, 21)<sup>12d</sup>, (16, 20, 22)<sup>7c</sup>) were identified by comparision of their spectroscopic behavior with those described in the references. The yields and reaction conditions of other products are shown in Table 2.

General Procedure for the Reactions of Aldehydes and Ketones with Silylated Nucleophiles Catalyzed by DCKEA (23) *p*-Anisaldehyde (26) (50.0 mg, 0.367 mmol) and TMS-CN (A) (73.5  $\mu$ l, 0.551 mmol) were added to a solution of DCKEA (23) (10.0 mg, 0.073 mmol) in acetonitrile (3 ml) under argon atmosphere, and the mixture was refluxed for 2 h. Hydrochloric acid (3%) was added to the reaction mixture which was then extracted with ether. After workup, the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (AcOEt–hexane, 1:2) to give 2-hydroxy-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-ethanenitrile (27) (59.9 mg, quant.) as a colorless oil.

Compounds  $(25, 27, 31, 33)^{16}$ ,  $29^{17}$ ,  $35^{18}$ ) were identified by comparison of their spectroscopic behavior with those described in the references.

General Procedure for the Reactions of Acetals with Silylated Nucleophiles Catalyzed by DCKEA (23) *p*-Anisaldehyde dimethylacetal (36) (50.0 mg, 0.274 mmol) and TMS-CN (A) (54.8  $\mu$ l, 0.411 mmol) were added to a solution of DCKEA (23) (7.5 mg, 0.055 mmol) in acetonitrile (3 ml) under argon atmosphere, and the mixture was refluxed for 2 h. Water was added to the reaction mixture which was then extracted with ether. After workup, the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (AcOEt-hexane, 1:2) to give 2-methoxy-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-ethanenitrile (37) (44.9 mg, 92%) as a slightly yellow oil.

General Procedure for the Reactions of Acetals with Silylated Nucleophiles Catalyzed by the Polymeric DCKA (4) *p*-Anisaldehyde dimethylacetal (**36**) (50.0 mg, 0.274 mmol) and TMS-CN (A) (54.8  $\mu$ l, 0.411 mmol) were added to a suspension of polymeric DCKA (**4**) (50.0 mg) in acetonitrile (3 ml) under argon atmosphere, and the mixture was refluxed for 2 h. After filtration of catalyst (**4**), the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (AcOEt–hexane, 1:4) to give 2-methoxy-2-(4-methoxy-phenyl)-ethanenitrile (**37**) (48.1 mg, 99%) as a slightly yellow oil. After washing the recovered polymer (**4**) successively with water and ethyl acetate followed by drying at room temperature *in vacuo* for 4 h, the catalyst (**4**) could be reused without loss of the activities (2nd recycle; 48.6 mg, quant.).

Compounds (37, 38, 42, 52, 55),<sup>13e</sup> (39, 40, 43, 45, 46, 47, 50, 51, 53, 54, 56, 57, 59),<sup>6d</sup> (48, 49),<sup>16</sup> (58)<sup>18</sup> were identified by comparison of their spectroscopic behavior with those described in the references. The yields and reaction conditions of other products are shown in Table 5.

**1-(2-Furyl)-3-methoxy-3-methyloctane-1-one (60)** Slightly yellow oil; IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) cm<sup>-1</sup>: 2950, 2870, 1665 (C=O), 1570 (C=C), 1470, 1390, 1360, 1280, 1160, 1070, 1010, 900, 880, 830. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 0.87 (3H, t, J=7.3 Hz), 1.27 (13H, m), 1.68 (2H, m), 3.21 (3H, s), 6.54 (1H, dd, J=3.4, 1.5 Hz), 7.19 (1H, d, J=3.4 Hz), 7.59 (1H, s). HRMS (FAB) *m/z*: 253.1809 (Calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: 253.1803).

**1'-Cyano-5'-hydroxy-pentyl Octyl Ether (62)** Colorless oil; IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3340 (OH), 2900, 1460, 1330, 1100. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 0.88 (3H, t, *J*=6.6 Hz), 1.27 (11H, br s), 1.61 (6H, m), 1.88 (2H, q, *J*=6.8 Hz), 3.43 (1H, dt, *J*=6.4 Hz), 3.68 (2H, t, *J*=5.9 Hz), 3.76 (1H, dt, *J*=6.4 Hz), 4.11 (1H, t, *J*=6.6 Hz). HRMS (FAB) *m/z*: 242.2115 (Calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>27</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>: 242.2120).

**1'-Cyano-4'-hydroxy-butyl Octyl Ether (64)** Colorless oil; IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3420 (OH), 2880, 1440, 1330, 1100. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 0.88 (3H, t, *J*=6.6 Hz), 1.28 (10H, br s), 1.59—1.81 (5H, m), 1.97 (2H, q, *J*=6.8 Hz), 3.45 (1H, dt, *J*=6.6 Hz), 3.70 (2H, t, *J*=6.1 Hz), 3.76 (1H, dt, *J*=6.6 Hz), 4.19 (1H, t, *J*=6.3 Hz). HRMS (FAB) *m/z*: 228.1973 (Calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>25</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>: 228.1963).

#### **References and Notes**

- a) Merrifield B., *Science*, 232, 341—347 (1986) and references cited therein; b) Reviews: Akelah A., Sherrington D. C., *Chem. Rev.*, 81, 557—587 (1981); Fréchet J. M. J., *Tetrahedron*, 37, 663—683 (1981); Shuttleworth S. J., Allin S. M., Sharma P. K., *Synthesis*, 1997, 1217— 1239.
- a) Onaka M., Sugita K., Izumi Y., Chem. Lett., **1986**, 1327–1328; b) Clark J. H., Martin K., Teasdale A. J., Barlow S. J., J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., **1995**, 2037–2040; Villemin D., Martin B., J. Chem. Res. (S), **1994**, 146–147; c) Iranpoor N., Tarrian T., Movahedi Z., Synthesis, **1996**, 1473–1476; d) Kobayashi S., Nagayama S., J. Org. Chem., **61**, 2256–2257 (1996); Tamami B., Iranpoor N., Zarchi M. A. K., Polymer, **34**, 2011–2013 (1993).
- a) Kobayashi S., Nagayama S., Synlett, 1997, 653—654; Nagahara S., Maruoka K., Yamamoto H., Chem. Lett., 1992, 1193—1196; b) Otera J., Niibo Y., Tatsumi N., Nozaki H., J. Org. Chem., 53, 275—278 (1988).
- 4) a) Kumar P., Dinesh C. U., Reddy R. S., Pandey B., Synthesis, 1993, 1069—1070; Takeuchi H., Kitajima K., Yamamoto Y., Mizuno K., J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1993, 199—203; b) Hoyer S., Laszlo P., Synthesis, 1986, 655—657; c) Johnston R. D., Marston C. R., Krieger P. E., Goe G. L., Synthesis, 1988, 393—394; d) Olah G. A., Husain A., Singh B. P., Synthesis, 1983, 892—895; e) Svec F., Bares M., Zajic J., Kálal J., Chem. Ind., 1977, 159.
- 5) Mukaiyama T., Iwakiri H., Chem. Lett., 1985, 1363-1366.
- a) Masaki Y., Miura T., Ochiai M., Synlett, 1993, 847–849; b) Masaki Y., Miura T., Ochiai M., Chem. Lett., 1993, 17–20; c) Miura T., Masaki Y., J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1994, 1659–1660; d) Miura T., Masaki Y., J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1995, 2155–2158.
- a) Miura T., Masaki Y., Chem. Pharm. Bull., 43, 523—525 (1995); Masaki Y., Miura T., Ochiai M., Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 69, 195—205 (1996); b) Miura T., Masaki Y., Synth. Commun., 25, 1981—1987 (1995); c) Miura T., Masaki Y., Tetrahedron Lett., 35, 7961—7964 (1994); Tetrahedron, 51, 10477—10486 (1995).
- 8) a) Masaki Y., Tanaka N., Miura T., *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **39**, 5799–5802 (1998); b) Tanaka N., Masaki Y., *Synlett*, **1999**, 1277–1279. c) Tanaka N., Masaki Y., *Synlett*, **1999**, 1960–1962.
- Middleton W. J., Engelhardt V. A., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 80, 2788–2795 (1958).
- 10) Minutolo F., Pini D., Salvadori P., Tetrahedron Lett., 37, 3375-3378

(1996).

- 11) De B. B., Lohray B. B., Sivaram S., Dhai P. K., *Tetrahedron Asymmetry*, 6, 2105–2108 (1995).
- 12) The most convenient method for preparation of saturated monothioacetals is the transacetalization of acetals. a) Nakatsubo F., Cocuzza A. J., Keeley D. E., Kishi Y., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 99, 4835—4836 (1977); Kieczykowski G. R., Schlessinger R. H., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 100, 1938—1940 (1978); Naruto M., Ohno K., Naruse N., Chem. Lett., 1978, 1419—1422; b) Kim S., Park J. H., Lee S., Tetrahedron Lett., 30, 6697—6700 (1989); c) Morton H. E., Guindon Y., J. Org. Chem., 50, 5379—5382 (1985); Guindon Y., Bernstein M. A., Anderson P. C., Tetrahedron Lett., 28, 2225—2228 (1987); d) Sato T., Kobayashi T., Gojo T., Yoshida E., Otera J., Nozaki H., Chem. Lett., 1987, 1661—1664; Sato T., Otera J., Nozaki H., Tetrahedron, 45, 1209—1218 (1989); e) Masaki Y., Serizawa Y., Kaji K., Chem. Lett., 1985, 1933—1936.
- 13) In recent years, a variety of efficient catalysts or promoters have been developed to induce carbon-carbon bond forming reactions of aldehydes, ketones, and acetals with silylated nucleophiles. a) McCluskey

A., Mayer D. M., Young D. J., *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 38, 5217—5218 (1997); b) Ooi T., Tayama E., Takahashi M., Maruoka K., *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 38, 7403—7406 (1997); c) Ishii A., Kotera O., Saeki T., Mikami K., *Synlett*, 1997, 1145—1146; d) Soga T., Takenoshita H., Yamada M., Han J. S., Mukaiyama T., *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 64, 1108—1117 (1991); e) Soga T., Takenoshita H., Yamada M., Mukaiyama T., *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 63, 3122—3131 (1990); f) Sato T., Otera J., Nozaki H., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 112, 901—902 (1990) and references cited therein.

- 14) Nelson B. A., Hodges E. J., Simon J. I., J. Org. Chem., **1956**, 798–799.
- 15) Williams N., Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges., 60, 2509-2514 (1927).
- 16) Torii S., Inokuchi T., Takagishi S., Horike H., Kuroda H., Uneyama K., Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 60, 2173—2188 (1987).
- 17) Inagaki M., Hatanaka A., Mimura M., Hiratake J., Nishioka T., Oda J., Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 65, 111–120 (1992).
- Higuchi K., Onaka M., Izumi Y., Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 66, 2016– 2032 (1993).